SUPREME COURT MINUTES FRIDAY, AUGUST 26, 2011 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S044693

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL CLARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 28, 2011.

S062259

PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT WALTER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Margot Garey's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 30, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 28, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 150 additional days will be granted.

S090499

PEOPLE v. LIVINGSTON (DAVID JAMES)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Robert Wayne Gehring's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 31, 2011. After that date, no further extension will be granted.

S092410

PEOPLE v. NIEVES (SANDI DAWN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel Amitai Schwartz's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by February 29, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 28, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 120 additional days are contemplated.

S095223

PEOPLE v. BLOOM (ROBERT MAURICE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Michael R. Johnsen's representation that he anticipates filing the respondent's brief by May 7, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to November 8, 2011. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 180 additional days are contemplated.

S118384

PEOPLE v. MELENDEZ (ANGELO MICHAEL)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 21, 2011.

S126214

PEOPLE v. CRUMMEL (JAMES LEE)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel William Hassler's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 30, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 24, 2011. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 40 additional days is contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S127621

PEOPLE v. ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 25, 2011.

S131819

PEOPLE v. WILLIAMS (GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 24, 2011.

S132256

PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN TAYLOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 25, 2011.

S140894

PEOPLE v. MIRACLE (JOSHUA MARTIN)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 31, 2011.

S148462

PEOPLE v. YOUNG (JEFFREY SCOTT)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 31, 2011.

S188204

D055701 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1

PEOPLE v. ARANDA, JR., (ANTHONY)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer brief on the merits is extended to October 18, 2011.

S190005

HAIDL (GREGORY SCOTT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to October 6, 2011.

S193389

HAMPTON (TYRONE) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 7, 2011.

S194843

JIMENEZ (ANGEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the informal response is extended to September 21, 2011.

S195127

BEAMES (JOHN MICHAEL) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Robert Gezi's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by January 26, 2012, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 28, 2011. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S195259 F061974 Fifth Appellate District

WILSON (CHRISTOPHER M.) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the answer to petition for review is extended to August 31, 2011.

S195889

KLINEFELTER (JEFFREY SCOTT) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Fourth Appellate District, Division Two, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 989)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)